

Community of Practice: Choosing Wisely in Paediatrics

**Moderator:** 

### Dr. Olivia Ostrow

Director of Quality & Safety, Paediatric Emergency Medicine Department of Pediatrics, The Hospital for Sick Children Associate Director, SickKids Choosing Wisely Program



## Welcome (and welcome back)!

The Choosing Wisely in Paediatrics Community of Practice (CoP) mandate is to foster knowledge sharing and collaborative learning to promote high-quality, value-added care by focusing on the overuse of certain tests and therapies in children.

## Since launching in 2019:

- Reach is North American with >250 members
- 12 webinars and 27 presentations have been held to date
- Presentation topics involve both paediatric acute-care centres and community sites



# A snapshot...Webinar Topics to Date

Bronchiolitis	UTIs	Antibiotics Wisely	ADHD Dx, Rx, and Misinformation	
Planetary health	Respiratory infections	Iron deficiency	Pneumonia & CXRs	
Engaging trainees in stewardship Febrile neutropenia		Blood Wisely	HHFNC	
Urine collection methods	Peripheral IVs (saline vs TKO)	Family partnerships in Choosing Wisely	Allergy de-labelling	



## **Children's Healthcare Canada**

- Established the Choosing Wisely in Paediatrics Health Hub
  - Leveraged existing CHC online network
  - Goal to connect individuals with "like" peers across Canada to share information and exchange resources
  - Currently houses materials and recordings from past webinars and relevant publications

Children's Healthcare Canada Health Hub

**Choosing Wisely** 





## **Future Webinars**

# Fall 2024 – date TBC

Featuring a 'rapid fire' of new Choosing Wisely in Paediatrics recommendations at SickKids (4th wave)

## Suggested topics are always welcome!

If you are interested in presenting, have resources you wish to share, or would like to be added to the mailing list, please complete the webinar feedback survey or email lauren.whitney@sickkids.ca



# Agenda

1:00 – 1:05 PM	Welcome and Introductions
1:05 – 1:45 PM	Management of periorbital and orbital cellulitis in Canadian children and youth   Peter Gill, MD, DPhil, MSc, FRCPC, Staff Paediatrician, The Hospital for Sick Children   Assistant Professor, Department of Paediatrics and IHPME, University of Toronto   Carsten Krueger, MD, FRCPC, Infectious Diseases Consultant, Alberta Children's Hospital   Child Health & Wellness Researcher, Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute   Clinical Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics, University of Calgary   Medical Lead, Pediatric Antimicrobial Stewardship Southern Alberta Sector   Overuse in the inpatient evaluation of growth faltering   Elise Lu, MD, PhD, Paediatric Hospitalist, Children's Hospital London Health Sciences Centre   Assistant Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Western University
1:45 – 2:00 PM	Q&A





Q

084

Share Scree

Chat

- Please enter your questions using the **chat function**
- If you wish to contribute to the conversation, be sure to un-mute on the Zoom dashboard
  - Note: we will moderate the Q&A after all presentations have been completed

•••



# Management of periorbital and orbital cellulitis in Canadian children and youth

### Peter J Gill, MD, DPhil, MSc, FRCPC

Staff Physician, Hospital for Sick Children Scientist, SickKids Research Institute Assistant Professor, Dept of Paediatrics and IHPME, UofT Co-Founder and Vice-Chair, PIRN



### Carsten Krueger, MD, FRCPC

Infectious Diseases Consultant, Alberta Children's Hospital Child Health & Wellness Researcher, ACHRI Clinical Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics, University of Calgary Medical Lead, Pediatric Antimicrobial Stewardship Southern Alberta Sector

### **Conflicts of interest**

• None



### **Objectives**

- Describe the Canadian Paediatric Inpatient Research Network (PIRN), including examples of on-going studies
- Review the management of periorbital and orbital cellulitis with a focus on hospitalized patients, specifically
  - Describe indications for diagnostic imaging
  - Outline evidence for judicious antimicrobial prescribing



# **General Paediatric Inpatient Unit (GPIU)**



- ~20% of all admissions in Canada
- Largest inpatient unit at many children's hospitals
- ✓ >65% of children are cared for in community hospitals
- × Lack of high-quality research focused on GPIUs
- × Few randomized controlled trials
- × Low quality studies
- × Fewer clinician-researchers in general pediatrics
- X Lack of multi-centre studies in GPIU



## Launched in 2019 to generate scientific knowledge to improve the outcomes of hospitalized children on the General Paediatric Inpatient Unit (GPIU)

Toronto

SickKid







## Mission

We work with children and families to generate evidence that improves care and outcomes for hospitalized children in general paediatric settings. We build research capacity through mentorship and collaboration.

## Vision

We achieve the best quality of care and health outcomes for children hospitalized in general paediatric settings.

## Values

Excellence Child and family centred care Pragmatism Inclusivity and collaboration Capacity building

## 2019-2024



### **Governance** Established Governance Structure, Portfolio Leads, Term limits



**Research** Grant Funding (CIHR/PSI/Local), Publications, KT



### Site Engagement Monthly Meetings, Reviewing new protocols, Invited Speakers, Annual Meetings: <u>2023 Calgary</u> Nov 14-15, 2024 Montreal



### Partnership

Patient Partnership, MICYRN, SPOR, CHC, PCC, CPS, CAPTen, International (US, UK, AUS)



Trainee Integration & Advisory Committee Core Residents and Fellows





# **Building Capacity: Pediatric Hospital Medicine**



# **Building Capacity & Collaboration: Canadian Networks**







Canadian Critical Care Trials Group



Paediatric Investigators Collaborative Network on Infections in Canada





# **Building Capacity & Collaboration: International Networks**



## **Active Studies**





# ROUTINE



BMJ Open Canadian infants presenting with Brief Resolved Unexplained Events (BRUEs) and validation of clinical prediction rules for risk stratification: a protocol for a multicentre, retrospective cohort study



### Periorbital and orbital cellulitis







Figure 5. An 11-year-old boy who has pan-sinusitis and left orbital cellulitis and presented with fever, severe left eye pain, proptosis, chemosis, and limitation of extraocular movements. Note that he has limited adduction of his left eye.



Hauser A, Fogarasi S. *Pediatr Rev* 2010; 31(6): 242-249. Watts P. *Paediatrics and Child Health*: 2015; 26:1; pp1 – 8 UpToDate. "Orbital cellulitis"



Median length of stay 3.7 days

Capra G et al. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2015;141(1):12-17. Seltz LB et al. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(3):e566-572.

Dennison et al. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2019*; 121: 50-4. Scholin Ask et al. *Acta Paediatr* 2017; 106(2): 268-73. Nguyen et al. *Hosp Pediatr*. 2023;13(5):375-80.

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Changes in the Management of Severe Orbital Infections Over Seventeen Years

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Variation in the Management of Hospitalized Children With Orbital Cellulitis Over 10 Years



Krueger et al. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2021;11(6):613–621 Nguyen et al. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2023;13(5):375-80.

Var	iables	Total, n = 1304 (%)	Hospital-level median, % (IOR)	Site 1 $(n = 3)$	Site 2 ( $n = 190$ )	Site 3 ( <i>n</i> = 179)	Site 4 ( <i>n</i> = 309)	Site 5 ( <i>n</i> = 123)	Site 6 ( <i>n</i> = 157)	<i>P</i> -value
CB	C. n(%)	1290 (98.9)	99.1 (97.8-99.9)	346 (10	0.0) 187 (98.4)	) 172 (96.1)	308 (99.7)	120 (97.6)	157 (100.0)	0.99
Elec	ctrolytes, $n$ (%)	1098 (84.2)	84.6 (78.0-88.7)	307 (88	.7) 138 (73.0)	167 (93.3)	253 (81.9)	96 (78.0)	137 (87.3)	0.25
CR	P. n (%)	796 (61.0)	58.1 (50.8-66.0)	196 (56	.6) 113 (59.8)	84 (46.9)	236 (76.4)	60 (48.8)	107 (68.2)	< 0.001
ESF	R, n (%)	419 (32.1)	36.3 (16.1-37.7)	128 (37	.0) 87 (46.0)	16 (8.9)	117 (37.9)	15 (12.2)	56 (35.7)	< 0.001
CR	P or ESR, <i>n</i> (%)	837 (64.2)	62.3 (52.0-73.2)	205 (59	.2) 124 (65.6)	86 (48.0)	242 (78.3)	61 (49.6)	119 (75.8)	< 0.001
CR	P and ESR, $n$ (%)	378 (29.0)	31.3 (15.5-35.5)	119 (34	.4) 76 (40.2)	14 (7.8)	111 (35.9)	14 (11.4)	44 (28.0)	< 0.001
Cul	tures, $n$ (%)									1
B	lood	1057 (81.1)	80.7 (75.6-86.1)	270 (78	.0) 164 (86.8)	133 (74.3)	266 (86.1)	93 (75.6)	131 (83.4)	0.61
0	cular Discharge	177 (13.6)	11.6 (7.6-15.1)	44 (12	$\frac{7}{7} = \frac{20(105)}{20(105)}$	12 (6 7)	68 (22 0)	8(65)	25 (15 9)	<0.001
CT	Scan, <i>n</i> (%)	882 (67.6)	68.5 (62.7-78.9)	239 (69	.1) 91 (47.9)	109 (60.9)	210 (68.0)	104 (84.6)	129 (82.2)	0.004
MR	1 Scan, <i>n</i> (%)	103 (7.9)	8.7(7.0-10.2)	41 (11.	8) 13 (6.8)	18 (10.1)	6 (1.9)	9 (7.3)	16 (10.2)	0.003
CT	or MRI scan, n (%)	898 (68.9)	70.0 (64.3-79.6)	249 (72	.0) 93 (48.9)	113 (63.1)	210 (68.0)	104 (84.6)	129 (82.2)	0.008
CT	and MRI scan, n (%)	87 (6.7)	7.6 (6.2-8.7)	31 (9.	0) 11 (5.8)	14 (7.8)	6 (1.9)	9 (7.3)	16 (10.2)	0.034
Sub	specialty consults									
0	tolaryngology	692 (53.1)	55.7 (35.8-64.5)	223 (64	.5) 57 (30.0)	64 (35.8)	179 (57.9)	85 (69.1)	84 (53.5)	< 0.001
0	phthalmology	957 (73.4)	71.9 (68.3-82.4)	285 (82	.4) 140 (73.7)	75 (41.9)	263 (85.1)	84 (68.3)	110 (70.1)	< 0.001
In	fectious diseases	446 (34.2)	21.9 (21.6-55.4)	75 (21.	7) 41 (21.6)	37 (20.7)	179 (57.9)	27 (22.0)	87 (55.4)	< 0.001
N	eurosurgery	51 (3.9)	4.1 (2.8-5.1)	18 (5.2	2) 4 (2.1)	5 (2.8)	10 (3.2)	6 (4.9)	8 (5.1)	0.44
>2	20% under median	10-20% under median	Within 10% of m	nedian 1	0-20% over media	n >20% o	over median			

### **Diagnostic imaging**

Gold standard = CT with and without contrast

• Fast, easily available

• Ionizing radiation (1/1000-5000 lethal malignancies per CT scan)

### **Rates of CT scan in US**

• 12-13% in ED vs 75% in hospitalized children

### **Rates of CT scan in Canada**

• 14% in ED (Montreal)

• 59% in hospitalized children (68% children's hospitals vs 20% community hospitals)

Markham J. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2018;8(1):28-35. Rudloe TF. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125(4):e719-726. Tritt A. *Clin Otolaryngol* 2019; 44(3): 273-8. Nguyen et al. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2023;13(5):375-80.



#### **FIGURE 2**

Recursive partitioning model stratifying the risk for orbital abscess. A, Patients with proptosis, ophthalmoplegia, or pain with EOM were at high risk. B, Those with edema beyond the eyelid had a risk of 20%, whereas patients with no edema beyond the eyelid had a risk of 3.5%. C, The risk can be further stratified by adding ANC, age, previous antibiotics (shown), and previous conjunctivitis (not shown).

	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
Age (Years) (Ref: <5)			
5 – <9	1.59 (0.91, 2.75)	0.1	
9 – <14	3.88 (2.31, 6.59)	< 0.001	<u>↓</u>
14 – 18	6.96 (3.44, 14.1)	< 0.001	<b>⊢</b> i
Gender (Male)	0.83 (0.56, 1.23)	0.4	<b>⊢</b>
Chronic Disease	0.66 (0.40, 1.07)	0.1	۲ <u> </u>
Prior Antibiotics	1.43 (0.94, 2.17)	0.094	۲ <u> </u>
CRP Level (Ref: <20)			
20 – 40	1.25 (0.52, 2.97)	0.6	р <u>в</u>
40 - 80	1.24 (0.58, 2.69)	0.6	
80 – 120	2.25 (1.00, 5.13)	0.051	
>120	2.77 (1.32, 5.94)	0.008	FI
Not Done	1.60 (0.85, 3.15)	0.2	<b>⊢</b>
WBC Level (Ref: 5-12)			
<5	2.78 (0.40, 11.6)	0.2	۲۲
12 – 20	1.70 (1.11, 2.63)	0.016	<b>⊢−−</b> ■−−−1
>20	2.15 (1.13, 4.07)	0.019	F
Not Done	1.04 (0.05, 7.30)	>0.9	<del>ا</del> ا
Proptosis	2.62 (1.74, 3.96)	<0.001	<b>⊢</b> •−•
Eye Swollen Shut	1.39 (0.93, 2.07)	0.011	F→
Fever (T> 38)	1.30 (0.85, 1.99)	0.2	<b>⊢_</b> •i
Significant CT Scan	5.33 (3.61, 7.93)	< 0.001	·
			0.05 0.10 0.25 0.50 1.00 2.50 5.00 10.00 20.00 Odds of Surgery

# Who needs imaging?

- Toxic appearance
- Findings suggestive of **optic nerve involvement** (i.e. proptosis, ophthalmoplegia, abnormal vision)
- Features of **intracranial extension** (i.e. neurological deficits, seizures)
- Prominent forehead swelling (i.e. Pott's puffy tumour)
- Factors associated with surgical intervention (CRP>120)
- Poor response to treatment in first 24-48 hours

*In cases without these features, imaging can be delayed pending <i>clinical response to antimicrobial therapy.* 



**e 1** CT scan showing a medial orbital subperiosteal absc e left side associated with ethmoid and sphenoid sinusitis

Lee S. Saudi J Ophthalmol. 2011;25(1):21-29.

- Specific bacteria involved are often not identified (non-surgical cases)
- The microbiology informs empiric antimicrobial selection

### Traumatic Periorbital Cellulitis

- Group A Streptococcus
- Staphylococcus aureus

Harris. Subperiosteal Abscess of the Orbit: Age as a Factor in the Bacteriology and Response to Treatment. Ophthalmology. 1994;101(3):585-595. Seltz LB, Smith J, Durairaj VD, Enzenauer R, Todd J. Microbiology and Antibiotic Management of Orbital Cellulitis. Pediatrics. 2011;127(3):e566. Sharma A, Liu ES, Le TD, et al. Pediatric orbital cellulitis in the Haemophilus influenzae vaccine era. Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus {JAAPOS}. 2015;19(3):206-210.

- Specific bacteria involved are often not identified (non-surgical cases)
- The microbiology informs empiric antimicrobial selection

Atraumatic Periorbital or Orbital Cellulitis from **ACUTE SINUSITIS** 

- Streptococcus anginosus
- Group A Streptococcus
- Streptococcus pneumoniae
- Haemophilus influenzae\*
- Moraxella catarrhalis\*

#### JAMA | Original Investigation

# Treatment Failure and Adverse Events After Amoxicillin-Clavulanate vs Amoxicillin for Pediatric Acute Sinusitis

Timothy J. Savage, MD, MPH, MSc; Matthew P. Kronman, MD, MSCE; Sushama Kattinakere Sreedhara, MBBS, MSPH; Su Been Lee, BA; Theresa Oduol, BS; Krista F. Huybrechts, MS, PhD

Harris. Subperiosteal Abscess of the Orbit: Age as a Factor in the Bacteriology and Response to Treatment. Ophthalmology. 1994;101(3):585-595.

Seltz LB, Smith J, Durairaj VD, Enzenauer R, Todd J. Microbiology and Antibiotic Management of Orbital Cellulitis. Pediatrics. 2011;127(3):e566

Sharma A, Liu ES, Le TD, et al. Pediatric orbital cellulitis in the Haemophilus influenzae vaccine era. Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus {JAAPOS}. 2015;19(3):206-210.

- Specific bacteria involved are often not identified (non-surgical cases)
- The microbiology informs empiric antimicrobial selection

Atraumatic Periorbital or Orbital Cellulitis from **CHRONIC SINUSITIS** 

- Streptococcus anginosus
- Group A Streptococcus
- Streptococcus pneumoniae
- Staphylococcus Aureus
- "Oral Anaerobes"
- Haemophilus influenzae\*
- Moraxella catarrhalis\*

\*Increased risk of polymicrobial infections and anaerobic infections in Age >9y.

Penicillin/ampicillin susceptible **Oral** Anaerobes - porphyromonas, parvimonas, peptoniphilus, veillonella, most (77-96%) Fusobacterium

Penicillin resistant **Oral** Anaerobes – Prevotella melaninogenica (68% beta-lactamase producing\*)

Harris. Subperiosteal Abscess of the Orbit: Age as a Factor in the Bacteriology and Response to Treatment. Ophthalmology. 1994;101(3):585-595.

Seltz LB, Smith J, Durairaj VD, Enzenauer R, Todd J. Microbiology and Antibiotic Management of Orbital Cellulitis. Pediatrics. 2011;127(3):e566

Sharma A, Liu ES, Le TD, et al. Pediatric orbital cellulitis in the Haemophilus influenzae vaccine era. Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus {JAAPOS}. 2015;19(3):206-210.

### Microbiology Summary

### Traumatic Periorbital Cellulitis

- Group A Streptococcus
- Staphylococcus aureus

Atraumatic Periorbital or Orbital Cellulitis from **ACUTE SINUSITIS** 

- Streptococcus anginosus
- Group A Streptococcus
- Streptococcus pneumoniae
- Haemophilus influenzae\*
- Moraxella catarrhalis\*

Atraumatic Periorbital or Orbital Cellulitis from **CHRONIC SINUSITIS** 

- Streptococcus anginosus
- Group A Streptococcus
- Streptococcus pneumoniae
- Staphylococcus Aureus
- "Oral Anaerobes"
- Haemophilus influenzae\*
- Moraxella catarrhalis\*

Special Populations:

- Un-/under-immunized for HiB and S.pneumoniae Increased risk of these organisms
- North American Arctic ("Northern Canada") –Increased risk of H.influenzae A
- Odontogenic Sinusitis Increased risk of penicillin resistant oral anaerobes
- High risk population\* for MRSA Increased risk of MRSA

> Arch Dis Child. 2024 Apr 8:archdischild-2023-326175. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2023-326175. Online ahead of print.

#### Association of empiric antibiotic selection and clinical outcomes in hospitalised children with severe orbital infections: a retrospective cohort study

Carsten Krueger <sup>11</sup>, Emily Lan-Vy Nguyen <sup>2</sup>, Sanjay Mahant <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Cornelia M Borkhoff <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Jessica Cichon <sup>4</sup>, Olivier Drouin <sup>6</sup> <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup>, Catherine Pound <sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup>, Julie Quet <sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup>, Gita Wahi <sup>11</sup>, Ann Bayliss <sup>12</sup>, Gemma Vomiero <sup>11</sup>, Jessica Foulds <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup>, Ronik Kanani <sup>15</sup>, Mahmoud Sakran <sup>16</sup>, Anupam Sehgal <sup>17</sup>, Eleanor Pullenayegum <sup>4</sup> <sup>18</sup>, Elysa Widija <sup>2</sup>, Arun Reginald <sup>2</sup> <sup>19</sup>, Nikolaus Wolter <sup>2</sup> <sup>20</sup>, Patricia Parkin <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Peter J Gill <sup>21</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Periorbital and Orbital Cellulitis (POC) Multicenter Study Group and the Canadian Paediatric Inpatient Research Network (PIRN); Research Network (PIRN)

#### Table 3 Pathogens isolated in blood and surgical culture specimens of children hospitalised with orbital cellulitis Sinus fluid Abscess aspirate Surgical ocular Subdural **Total patients Total organisms** Blood culture culture culture fluid culture empyema culture (n=57) Organism (n=175) (n=198) (n=61) (n=45) (n=10) (n=1) Streptococcus anginosus 64 74 13 28 29 4 0 Group A streptococcus 40 47 28 8 9 2 0 Methicillin-sensitive 20 21 2 7 9 3 0 Staphylococcus aureus Streptococcus pneumoniae 15 15 11 0 3 0 Haemophilus influenzae 2 6 6 4 0 0 0 Methicillin-resistant 5 5 2 0 2 0 1 Staphylococcus aureus Prevotella group\* 5 0 2 2 0 0 4 Gram-positive cocci 4 Δ 0 1 3 0 0 Fikenella corrodens\* 3 0 2 Λ 1 0 Parvimonas micra\* 3 Δ 1 1 1 0 Mixed anaerobes\* 2 2 0 0 0

### N.b. – we did not delineate chronicity of sinusitis in this study

### Microbiology of Orbital Abscesses

### Empiric Antibiotics are getting broader

> Arch Dis Child. 2024 Apr 8:archdischild-2023-326175. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2023-326175. Online ahead of print.

### Association of empiric antibiotic selection and clinical outcomes in hospitalised children with severe orbital infections: a retrospective cohort study

Carsten Krueger <sup>1</sup>, Emily Lan-Vy Nguyen <sup>2</sup>, Sanjay Mahant <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Cornelia M Borkhoff <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Jessica Cichon <sup>4</sup>, Olivier Drouin <sup>6</sup> <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup>, Catherine Pound <sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup>, Julie Quet <sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup>, Gita Wahi <sup>11</sup>, Ann Bayliss <sup>12</sup>, Gemma Vomiero <sup>1</sup>, Jessica Foulds <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup>, Ronik Kanani <sup>15</sup>, Mahmoud Sakran <sup>16</sup>, Anupam Sehgal <sup>17</sup>, Eleanor Pullenayegum <sup>4</sup> <sup>18</sup>, Elysa Widjaja <sup>2</sup>, Arun Reginald <sup>2</sup> <sup>19</sup>, Nikolaus Wolter <sup>2</sup> <sup>20</sup>, Patricia Parkin <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Peter J Gill <sup>21</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>;

Periorbital and Orbital Cellulitis (POC) Multicenter Study Group and the Canadian Paediatric Inpatient Research Network (PIRN);

Periorbital and Orbital Cellulitis (POC) Multicenter Study Group and Canadian Pediatric Inpatient Research Network (PIRN)



- 3rd generation, anaerobic, and staphylococcal coverage
- Vancomycin containing regimens

### ... But outcomes are no better (perhaps worse)

> Arch Dis Child, 2024 Apr 8:archdischild-2023-326175, doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2023-326175. Online ahead of print

### Association of empiric antibiotic selection and clinical outcomes in hospitalised children with severe orbital infections: a retrospective cohort study

Carsten Krueger <sup>1</sup>, Emily Lan-Vy Nguyen <sup>2</sup>, Sanjay Mahant <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Cornelia M Borkhoff <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Jessica Cichon<sup>4</sup>, Olivier Drouin<sup>6</sup><sup>7</sup><sup>8</sup>, Catherine Pound<sup>9</sup><sup>10</sup>, Julie Quet<sup>9</sup><sup>10</sup>, Gita Wahi<sup>11</sup>. Ann Bayliss <sup>12</sup>, Gemma Vomiero <sup>1</sup>, Jessica Foulds <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup>, Ronik Kanani <sup>15</sup>, Mahmoud Sakran <sup>16</sup>, Anupam Sehgal <sup>17</sup>, Eleanor Pullenayegum <sup>4</sup> <sup>18</sup>, Elysa Widjaja <sup>2</sup>, Arun Reginald <sup>2</sup> <sup>19</sup>, Nikolaus Wolter <sup>2</sup> <sup>20</sup>, Patricia Parkin <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>, Peter J Gill <sup>21</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>. Periorbital and Orbital Cellulitis (POC) Multicenter Study Group and the Canadian Paediatric Inpatient Research Network (PIRN); Periorbital and Orbital Cellulitis (POC) Multicenter Study Group and Canadian Pediatric Inpatient Research Network (PIRN)

> Group A – 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Cephalosporin Group B – 3GC + Anaerobic Coverage Group C – 3GC + Anti-MSSA coverage Group D – 3GC+Anaerobic and MSSA coverage Group E – 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation Cephalosporin Group F – Anti-Stapylococcal Only

Group	Estimate (95% CI)	P-value	Shorter length of Longer length of stay : stay
В	13.8 (4.41, 23.3)	0.004	<b>⊢</b> −−−1
С	10.1 (1.48, 18.7)	0.02	·
D	19.5 (9.85, 29.2)	<.001	·
Е	-2.03 (-11.2, 7.12)	0.66	<b></b>
F	-7.79 (-16.6, 1.00)	0.08	<b></b> 1
G	-3.46 (-11.4, 4.45)	0.39	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1



Decreased odds of surgery	P-value	OR (95% CI)	Group
	0.13	2.40 (0.77, 7.49)	В
۰	0.31	1.82 (0.57, 5.81)	С
1	0.18	2.15 (0.70, 6.60)	D
⊢	0.29	2.82 (0.41, 19.5)	Е
	0.04	4.94 (1.09, 22.3)	F
	0.08	3.09 (0.86, 11.1)	G
0.1			



... But outcomes are no better (perhaps worse)

- Markham et al 2018 Multicenter Study in USA (42 sites)
  - 1828 children with OC, increased LOS and cost when the hospital reported higher therapeutics usage
- Broad spectrum antibiotic has been associated with worse clinical outcomes (longer LOS, increased costs, higher mortality, more adverse events) Gerber et al 2017, Webb et al 2019

### Recommendations

- "Triple" Antibiotic therapy (3GC, Anti-Staphyloccus, anaerobes) not routinely needed
  - Reserve for systemically unwell, concern for intracranial extension, optic nerve compromise
- Orbital cellulitis following acute sinusitis: Ampicillin or Cefazolin
  - Following chronic sinusitis: Amoxicillin-Clavulanate or Ceftriaxone

• Even in the above cases, once more information is known (imaging, cultures, clinical evolution) you can often narrow.

















OCHSU ONTARIO CHILD HEALTH SUPPORT UNIT



# OVERUSE IN THE INPATIENT MANAGEMENT OF GROWTH FALTERING

Elise Lu MD, PhD

Western University/ London Health Sciences Centre







• I have no conflicts of interest to disclose



- Describe the underlying etiologies of growth faltering
- Examine the available literature on the utility of laboratory and imaging studies
- Identify strategies to reduce overuse

### **GROWTH FALTERING**

- It's a description, not a diagnosis
- No standard-of-care for evaluation and management
- Inpatient management focuses on identifying cause of poor weight gain
- Laboratory and imaging work-up geared to identify or rule out disease

### ETIOLOGIES

- Insufficient caloric intake
- Mechanical feeding difficulties
- Organic disease
- GI reflux, CMPA, EOE
- Genetic chromosomal anomalies, metabolic disorders
- Endocrine thyroid disease, adrenal insufficiency, growth hormone deficiency
- Cardiac congenital heart disease
- Neurologic tumors, epilepsy, congenital anomalies
- Mixed etiologies

### HOW COMMON IS SIGNIFICANT UNDERLYING DISEASE?

- Well, that depends...
  - On how you define your population
  - On how you study patients with known diagnoses
  - On how you define underlying disease



■ Insufficient Intake ■ Organic Diagnoses ■ MFD ■ Unspecified

### ORGANIC DISEASES

- GERD
- Gastrointestinal
  - Cow's Milk Protein Allergy
  - Eosinophilic Esophagitis
  - Protein-losing enteropathy
  - Celiac Disease
- Genetic
  - Chromosomal anomalies
  - Metabolic disorders
- Endocrine
- Cardiac
- Neurologic



### **INPATIENT EVALUATION - FREQUENCY**

- Larson-Nath et al 2018
- 92 patients admitted for growth faltering
- 90% of patients had labs obtained
  - 88% of these were done on admission
- 64% had imaging studies
- 12% had endoscopy
- Lu et al ongoing
  - 497 patients admitted for growth faltering
  - 90% had labs obtained
    - 94% had labs within the first 24 hours of admission
  - 65% had imaging studies
  - 3% had endoscopy

### INPATIENT EVALUATION - TYPES

### Most common labs

- Electrolytes
  - Basic Metabolic Panel (lytes + urea, Cr)
  - Magnesium
  - Phosphorus
- CBC
- Liver function tests
- TSH
- Urinalysis

Most common imaging

- Abdominal ultrasound
- Chest xray
- Abdominal xray
- Renal ultrasound
- Upper GI
- Modified barium swallow

### INPATIENT EVALUATION - UTILITY

	Sills et al 1978	Berwick et al 1982	Larson-Nath et al 2018
Ν	185	122	92
Rate of organic disease N(%)	24 (18%)	38 (31%)	29 (31%)*
Tests sent	2607	4827	374
Utility N(%)	36 (1.4%)	39 (0.8%)	8 (2.1%)*

\*Only one lab test revealed a diagnosis not otherwise suspected on H&P

• BMP diagnosing Bartter's Syndrome

INPATIENT EVALUATION - UTILITY

- Coe et al 2020
  - Multicenter retrospective study of refeeding syndrome in GF
  - 179 patients included, 0 cases of refeeding syndrome

INTERPRET LAB RESULTS WITH CAUTION

- Marten et al (2022)
  - 39 patients with GF due to insufficient intake
  - 11 (27%) had elevated AST or ALT
    - AST 70.2 +/- 15.5 U/L
    - ALT 65.9 +/- 38.6 U/L
  - "Trend toward additional investigations" in infants with elevated AST or ALT

### INTERPRET LAB RESULTS WITH CAUTION

	Acylcarnitine Profile	Urine Organic Acids	Serum Amino Acids
Tests sent, n	61	70	94
Unique patients, n	57	65	86
Sent per Genetics, n, (%)	16 (26)	16 (22.9)	18 (18.9)
Normal Result, n (%)	55 (90)	33 (47)	86 (91.5)
Abnormal Result			
Clinically Irrelevant, n (%)	6 (10)	36 (51)	7 (7.4)
Clinically relevant, n	0	1 (1.4)	1 (1)

### WHAT SHOULD WE DO INSTEAD?

- Avoid general screening labs
- Check the newborn screen first!
- Tandem Mass Spectrometry amino acid and acylcarnitine analysis
- Use history and physical exam findings to guide work-up
- Consider monitoring for 24-48 hours to ensure adequate nutrition prior to laboratory work-up

### IT'S ALL IN THE HISTORY

• History and physical exam are the best diagnostic tools in growth faltering

TABLE 4 Components of the Hi	story and Physical Exam and Fe	eatures of the Hospital Stay Ar	re Associated With Ultimate Dia	agnosis
Patient Characteristics	Insufficient Intake	Malabsorption	Genetic Disease	MFD
Past medical history				
None	1.81 (1.22-2.70)	_	_	_
Reflux	_	_	_	2.33 (1.22-4.44)
Neonatal history	_	_	2.50 (1.16-5.42)	_
Symptoms				
Vomiting	_	2.73 (1.33-5.63)	_	_
Diarrhea	_	6.75 (3.11-14.66)	_	_
Dysphagia	_	_	2.28 (1.06-4.93)	2.63 (1.37-5.06)
Aversion	_	_	_	3.19 (1.62-6.29)
Physical exam findings				
No abnormality	2.94 (1.98-4.39)	_	_	_
Eczema/rash	_	3.31 (1.45-7.55)	_	_
Stridor	—	_	_	7.11 (2.48-20.43)
Hypotonia/dysmorphism	_	_	12.19 (5.63-26.39)	

### TARGETED LAB TESTING

If you are worried about...

- Mitochondrial or metabolic disease
- Malabsorption

Consider sending...

- Lytes, lactate, ammonia
- Albumin, IgE, CBC (eosinophils, anemia)

### CONCLUSION

- Organic disease is relatively common, but it's not detected by indiscriminate testing
- Frequency and futility of laboratory testing hasn't changed in 45 years
- History and physical exam are the best diagnostic tools
- We need a standardized approach to reduce overuse

# "

# THE INDISCRIMINATE "RULING OUT" OF ONE OCCULT POSSIBILITY AFTER ANOTHER ASSURES ONLY HIGH COST, IATROGENIC COMPLICATIONS, PERSISTENT ANXIETY, AND, IN MOST CASES, DIAGNOSTIC FAILURE.

"

Berwick - 1980

